**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN**

**TUESDAY, THE 18TH DECEMBER 2007 / 27TH AGRAHAYANA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 8031 of 2005(F)**
**----------**

**PETITIONER:**
**----------**

**DR.V.SHYAMALA,**
**PROFESSOR, INSTITUTE OF ENGLISH, UNIVERSITY OF KERALA, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY ADV. SRI.N.N.SUGUNAPALAN (SR.)**
**SRI.S.SUJIN**

**RESPONDENTS:**
**----------**

**1. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HIGHER EDUCATION DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**2. THE DIRECTOR OF COLLEGIATE EDUCATION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**3. STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI. T.T. MAHMOOD**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 18/12/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:**

**WP(C).No. 8031 of 2005**

**APPENDIX**

**EXT.P1: COPY OF ORDER G.O.(P)NO.228/2001/FIN. DATED 2.2.2001.**

**EXT.P2: COPY OF ORDER NO.N3/26441/FIN. DATED 2.2.2001.**

**EXT.P3: COPY OF LETTER NO.AD.D.III 3.9643/99 DTD 4.11.2003 ISSUED BY THE REGISTRAR, UNIVERSITY OF KERALA.**

**EXT.P4: COPY OF LETTER NO.15029/D1/05/H.EDN. DATED 1.2.2005 ISSUED BY THE UNDER SECRETARY TO R1.**

**EXT.P5: COPY OF G.O.(P) NO.193/04/FIN. DATED 20.4.2004.**

**EXT.P6: COPY OF JUDGMENT DATED 26.6.2003 IN O.P. NO.970/03 OF THIS HON'BLE COURT.**

**EXT.P7: COPY OF LETTER DATED 11.3.2005 TOGETHER WITH THE CALCULATION OF THE PRO-RATA PENSION.**

**EXT.P8: COPY OF COMMUNICATION DTD. 7.6.02 ISSUED BY R2 TO AG, KERALA.**

TRUE COPY

PA TO JUDGE.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, J.**

**----------**

**W.P.(C)No.8031 OF 2005**

**----------**

Dated this the 18th day of December, 2007

## JUDGMENT

The issue involved in this writ petition is as to whether the petitioner is entitled to reckon her past service in an aided College for the purpose of pensionary benefits on retirement as Professor in the Institute of English of the University of Kerala. In this respect the Registrar of the University of Kerala has addressed the 2nd respondent by issuing Ext.P7 letter. Now the petitioner confines her prayer for a direction to the 2nd respondent to take a final decision pursuant to Exts.P7 and P8.

2. I have heard the learned Government pleader also. In the facts and circumstances of the case, there would be a direction to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Ext.P7 as expeditiously as possible, at any rate, within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. If the Accountant General is to do anything in this regard, he shall also address himself to the question on receipt of appropriate intimation from the 2nd respondent within a period of one month

from the receipt of such intimation from the 2nd respondent.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

**S. SIRI JAGAN, JUDGE**

Acd